

The Intergals of Motion for the Deformed W -Algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$

October 30, 2006

Boris FEIGIN ^{α} , Takeo KOJIMA ^{β} , Jun'ichi SHIRAISHI ^{γ} , Hidekazu WATANABE ^{γ}

^{α} *L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Sciences,
Chernogolovka, Moscow 142432, RUSSIA*

^{β} *Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University,
Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0062, JAPAN*

^{γ} *Graduate School of Mathematical Science, University of Tokyo,
Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, JAPAN*

Abstract

We review the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ and its screening currents. We explicitly construct the Local Integrals of Motion \mathcal{I}_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for this deformed W -algebra. We explicitly construct the Nonlocal Integrals of Motion \mathcal{G}_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by means of screening currents. The Integrals of Motion \mathcal{I}_n and \mathcal{G}_n commute with each other. Our Integrals of Motion give the elliptic version of those of the Conformal Field Theory for the Virasoro algebra Vir [1] and those for the W -algebra $W(\widehat{sl}_3)$ [2].

1 Introduction

V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov and B.Zamolodchikov [1] constructed an infinite set of commuting Hamiltonians for Conformal Field Theory, which have the form,

$$I_{2k-1} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{du}{2\pi} T_{2k}(u), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (1.1)$$

Here the densities $T_{2k}(u)$ are differential polynomials of the energy momentum tensor of the Virasoro algebra $T(u) = -\frac{C_{CFT}}{24} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} L_n e^{\sqrt{-1}nu}$, where the operators L_n satisfies the commutation relation, $[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{C_{CFT}}{12}(n^3-n)\delta_{n+m,0}$. The first few densities $T_{2k}(u)$ are written by

$$T_2(u) = T(u), \quad T_4(u) =: T^2(u) :, \quad T_6(u) =: T^3(u) : + \frac{C_{CFT} + 2}{12} : (T'(u))^2 :. \quad (1.2)$$

However they did not give explicit formulae of general densities T_{2k} , all densities $T_{2k}(u)$ are uniquely determined by requirement of the commutativity, $[I_{2k-1}, I_{2l-1}] = 0$, ($k, l = 1, 2, \dots$). We call the operators I_{2k-1} the Local Integrals of Motion. V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov and B.Zamolodchikov [1] constructed another infinite set of commuting operators G_k , ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), by means of the screening currents, $F_1(u), F_2(u)$. In this case, they gave explicit formulae for every G_k , ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), satisfying the commutation relations $[G_k, G_l] = 0$, ($k, l = 1, 2, \dots$).

$$G_k = \int \cdots \int_{2\pi \geq u_1 \geq u_2 \geq \cdots \geq u_{2k} \geq 0} (e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}P} F_1(u_1) F_2(u_2) F_1(u_3) F_2(u_4) \cdots F_2(u_{2k}) + e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}P} F_2(u_1) F_1(u_2) F_2(u_3) F_1(u_4) \cdots F_1(u_{2k})) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_{2k}, \quad (1.3)$$

where P is zero-mode operator. We call the operators G_k the Nonlocal Integrals of Motion. They conjectured commutativity $[I_{2k-1}, G_l] = 0$, ($k, l = 1, 2, \dots$).

V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov and B. Zamolodchikov's theory [1] can be thought of as the quantum version of the KdV problem, as it reduces to the classical KdV problem in classical limit $C_{CFT} \rightarrow -\infty$, under the substitution

$$T(u) \rightarrow -\frac{C_{CFT}}{6} U(u), \quad [,] \rightarrow \frac{6\pi}{\sqrt{-1}C_{CFT}} \{ , \}. \quad (1.4)$$

The Poisson bracket structure $\{ , \}$ gives the second Hamiltonian structure of the KdV equation. The Local Integrals of Motion I_{2k-1} tend to $I_{2k-1}^{(cl)}$.

$$I_{2k-1}^{(cl)} = \int_u^{2\pi} \frac{du}{2\pi} T_{2k}^{(cl)}(u), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (1.5)$$

where the first few densities are written by

$$T_2^{(cl)} = U(u), \quad T_4^{(cl)} = U^2(u), \quad T_6^{(cl)} = U^3(u) - \frac{1}{2}(U'(u))^2. \quad (1.6)$$

The KdV hierarchy are given by

$$\partial_{t_{2k-1}} U = \{I_{2k-1}^{(cl)}, U\}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (1.7)$$

In this paper we study the elliptic deformation of the Conformal Field Theory given by V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov and B.Zamolodchikov [1], and V.Bazhanov, A.Hibberd and S.Khoroshkin [2]. We construct explicit formulae of both Local Integrals of Motion \mathcal{I}_n and the Nonlocal Integrals of Motion \mathcal{G}_m , for the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$.

$$[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0, \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (1.8)$$

Our Integrals of Motion give the elliptic version of those of the Conformal Field Theory for the Virasoro algebra [1] and W -algebra $W(\widehat{sl}_3)$ [2].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we give basic definition, including bosons, screening currents. In section 3, we review the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$. In section 4, we construct explicit formulae for the Local Integrals of Motion \mathcal{I}_n , ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$. In section 5, we construct explicit formulae for the Nonlocal Integrals of Motion \mathcal{G}_m , ($m = 1, 2, \dots$).

2 Basic Definition

In this section we give the basic definition. Let us fix three parameters $0 < x < 1$, $r \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

2.1 Bosons

Let $\epsilon_i (1 \leq i \leq N)$ be an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^N relative to the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^N relative to the standard inner product $(,)$. Let us set $\bar{\epsilon}_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon$, $\epsilon = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j$. We identify $\epsilon_{N+1} = \epsilon_1$. Let $P = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z} \bar{\epsilon}_i$ the weight lattice. Let us set $\alpha_i = \bar{\epsilon}_i - \bar{\epsilon}_{i+1} \in P$.

Let β_m^j be the oscillators ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$) with the commutation relations

$$[\beta_m^i, \beta_n^j] = \begin{cases} m \frac{[(r-1)m]}{[rm]} \frac{[(s-1)m]}{[sm]} \delta_{n+m,0} & (1 \leq i = j \leq N) \\ -m \frac{[(r-1)m]}{[rm]} \frac{[m]}{[sm]} x^{sm \operatorname{sgn}(i-j)} \delta_{n+m,0} & (1 \leq i \neq j \leq N) \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Here the symbol $[a]$ stands for $\frac{x^a - x^{-a}}{x - x^{-1}}$.

We also introduce the zero mode operator P_λ , ($\lambda \in P$). They are \mathbb{Z} -linear in λ and satisfy

$$[iP_\lambda, Q_\mu] = (\lambda, \mu), \quad (\lambda, \mu \in P). \quad (2.2)$$

Let us introduce the bosonic Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}(l, k \in P)$ generated by $\beta_{-m}^j (m > 0)$ over the vacuum vector $|l, k\rangle$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{l,k} = \mathbb{C}[\{\beta_{-1}^j, \beta_{-2}^j, \dots\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}] |l, k\rangle, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\beta_m^j |l, k\rangle = 0, \quad (m > 0), \quad (2.4)$$

$$P_\alpha |l, k\rangle = \left(\alpha, \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} l - \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} k \right) |l, k\rangle, \quad (2.5)$$

$$|l, k\rangle = e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} Q_l - i\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} Q_k} |0, 0\rangle. \quad (2.6)$$

Let us set the Dynkin-diagram automorphism η by

$$\eta(\beta_m^1) = x^{-\frac{2s}{N}m} \beta_m^2, \dots, \eta(\beta_m^{N-1}) = x^{-\frac{2s}{N}m} \beta_m^N, \quad \eta(\beta_m^N) = x^{\frac{2s}{N}(N-1)m} \beta_m^1, \quad (2.7)$$

and $\eta(\epsilon_i) = \epsilon_{i+1}$, ($1 \leq i \leq N$).

2.2 Basic Operators

In this section we introduce the basic operators. Let us set $z = x^{2u}$.

Definition 2.1 We set the screening currents $F_j(z)$ ($1 \leq j \leq N$) by

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(z) &= e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} Q_{\alpha_j} (x^{\frac{2s}{N}-1} j z)^{\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} P_{\alpha_j} + \frac{r-1}{r}}} \\ &\times : \exp \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} B_m^j z^{-m} \right) :, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N-1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(z) &= e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} Q_{\alpha_N} (x^{2s-N} z)^{\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} P_{\epsilon_N} + \frac{r-1}{2r}} (z)^{-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} P_{\epsilon_1} + \frac{r-1}{2r}}} \\ &\times : \exp \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} B_m^N z^{-m} \right) :. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here we set

$$B_m^j = (\beta_m^j - \beta_m^{j+1}) x^{-\frac{2s}{N} j m}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N-1), \quad (2.10)$$

$$B_m^N = (x^{-2sm} \beta_m^N - \beta_m^1). \quad (2.11)$$

The screening currents $F_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$) are studied well in [7]. We introduce new current $F_N(z)$, which can be regarded as ‘‘affinization’’ of screenings $F_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$).

In what follows, the symbol $[u]_r$ stands for the theta function satisfying

$$[u+r]_r = -[u]_r = [-u]_r, \quad (2.12)$$

$$[u+\tau]_r = -e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}(u+\frac{\tau}{2})}[u]_r, \quad \text{where } \tau = \frac{\pi i}{\log x}. \quad (2.13)$$

Explicitly it is given by

$$[u] = x^{u^2/r-u} \Theta_{x^{2r}}(x^{2u}), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\Theta_q(z) = (z; q)_\infty (q/z; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty, \quad (2.15)$$

$$(z; q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - zq^j). \quad (2.16)$$

Proposition 2.1 *The screening currents $F_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$) satisfy the following commutation relations,*

$$\frac{1}{[u_1 - u_2 - \frac{s}{N} + 1]_r} F_j(z_1) F_{j+1}(z_2) = \frac{1}{[u_2 - u_1 + \frac{s}{N}]_r} F_{j+1}(z_2) F_j(z_1), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\frac{[u_1 - u_2]_r}{[u_1 - u_2 - 1]_r} F_j(z_1) F_j(z_2) = \frac{[u_2 - u_1]_r}{[u_2 - u_1 - 1]_r} F_j(z_2) F_j(z_1), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N), \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$F_i(z_1) F_j(z_2) = F_j(z_2) F_i(z_1), \quad (|i - j| \geq 2). \quad (2.19)$$

We read $F_{N+1}(z) = F_1(z)$.

Proposition 2.2 *The action of η on the screenings $F_j(z)$ is given by*

$$\eta(F_j(z)) = F_{j+1}(x^{1-\frac{2s}{N}} z), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N-2), \quad (2.20)$$

$$\eta(F_{N-1}(z)) = F_N(x^{1-\frac{2s}{N}} z) x^{(2s-N)(-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} P_{\epsilon_1} + \frac{r-1}{r})}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\eta(F_N(z)) = F_1(x^{1-\frac{2s}{N}} z) x^{(2s-N)(\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} P_{\epsilon_1} + \frac{r-1}{r})}. \quad (2.22)$$

We have $\eta(F_{N-1}(z_1) F_N(z_2)) = F_N(z_1) F_1(z_2)$.

Definition 2.2 We set the fundamental operator $\Lambda_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$) by

$$\Lambda_j(z) = x^{-2\sqrt{r(r-1)}P_{\epsilon_j}} : \exp \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{x^{rm} - x^{-rm}}{m} \beta_m^j z^{-m} \right) : \quad (1 \leq j \leq N). \quad (2.23)$$

Proposition 2.3 The action of η on the screenings $\Lambda_j(z)$ is given by

$$\eta(\Lambda_j(z)) = \Lambda_{j+1}(xz), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N-1), \quad \eta(\Lambda_N(z)) = \Lambda_1(x^{1-2s}z). \quad (2.24)$$

Proposition 2.4 The screening currents $F_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$) and the fundamental operators $\Lambda_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$) commute up to delta-function $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$.

$$[\Lambda_j(z_1), F_j(z_2)] = (-1 + x^{-2r+2}) \delta \left(x^{\frac{2s}{N}j-r} \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) : \Lambda_j(z_1) F_j(z_2) :, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N), \quad (2.25)$$

$$[\Lambda_{j+1}(z_1), F_j(z_2)] = (1 - x^{-2r+2}) \delta \left(x^{\frac{2s}{N}j+r} \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) : \Lambda_{j+1}(z_1) F_j(z_2) :, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N-1), \quad (2.26)$$

$$[\Lambda_1(z_1), F_N(z_2)] = (1 - x^{-2r+2}) \delta \left(x^r \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) : \Lambda_1(z_1) F_N(z_2) :. \quad (2.27)$$

3 Deformed W -Algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$

In this section we review the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ [3, 4, 5].

3.1 Deformation of W -Algebra

In this section we give the deformation of the W -algebra.

Definition 3.1 Let us set the operator $T_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$) by

$$T_j(z) = \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_j \leq N} : \Lambda_{s_1}(x^{-j+1}) \Lambda_{s_2}(x^{-j+3}) \dots \Lambda_{s_j}(x^{j-1}z) :. \quad (3.1)$$

Proposition 3.1 The bosonic operators $T_j(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$) satisfy the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{i,j}(z_2/z_1) T_i(z_1) T_j(z_2) - f_{j,i}(z_1/z_2) T_j(z_2) T_i(z_1) \\ &= c \sum_{k=1}^i \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \Delta(x^{2l+1}) \times \left(\delta \left(\frac{x^{j-i+2k} z_2}{z_1} \right) f_{i-k,j+k}(x^{-j+i}) T_{i-k}(x^{-k} z_1) T_{j+k}(x^k z_2) \right. \\ & \left. - \delta \left(\frac{x^{-j+i-2k} z_2}{z_1} \right) f_{i-k,j+k}(x^{j-i}) T_{i-k}(x^k z_1) T_{j+k}(x^{-k} z_2) \right), \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq N), \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$.

Here we set the constnt c and the auxiliary function $\Delta(z)$ by

$$c = -\frac{(1-x^{2r})(1-x^{-2r+2})}{(1-x^2)}, \quad \Delta(z) = \frac{(1-x^{2r-1}z)(1-x^{1-2r}z)}{(1-xz)(1-x^{-1}z)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here we set the structure functions,

$$f_{i,j}(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} (1-x^{2rm})(1-x^{-2(r-1)m}) \frac{(1-x^{2m \text{Min}(i,j)})(1-x^{2m(s-\text{Max}(i,j))})}{(1-x^{2m})(1-x^{2sm})} x^{|i-j|m} z^m \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Example For $N = 2$ the operators $T_1(z), T_2(z)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{1,1}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2) - f_{1,1}(z_1/z_2)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_1) \\ &= c(\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)T_2(xz_2) - \delta(x^2 z_1/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$f_{1,2}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_2(z_2) = f_{2,1}(z_1/z_2)T_2(z_2)T_1(z_1), \quad (3.6)$$

$$f_{2,2}(z_2/z_1)T_2(z_1)T_2(z_2) = f_{2,2}(z_1/z_2)T_2(z_2)T_2(z_1). \quad (3.7)$$

Example For $N = 3$ the operators $T_1(z), T_2(z), T_3(z)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{1,1}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2) - f_{1,1}(z_1/z_2)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_1) \\ &= c(\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)T_2(xz_2) - \delta(x^2 z_1/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_2)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{1,2}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_2(z_2) - f_{2,1}(z_1/z_2)T_2(z_2)T_1(z_1) \\ &= c(\delta(x^3 z_2/z_1)T_3(xz_2) - \delta(x^3 z_1/z_2)T_3(x^{-1}z_2)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{2,2}(z_2/z_1)T_2(z_1)T_2(z_2) - f_{2,2}(z_1/z_2)T_2(z_2)T_2(z_1) \\ &= cf_{1,3}(1)(\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)T_1(xz_2)T_3(xz_2) - \delta(x^2 z_1/z_2)T_1(x^{-1}z_2)T_3(x^{-1}z_2)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$f_{1,3}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_3(z_2) = f_{3,1}(z_1/z_2)T_3(z_2)T_1(z_1), \quad (3.11)$$

$$f_{2,3}(z_2/z_1)T_2(z_1)T_3(z_2) = f_{3,2}(z_1/z_2)T_3(z_2)T_2(z_1), \quad (3.12)$$

$$f_{3,3}(z_2/z_1)T_3(z_1)T_3(z_2) = f_{3,3}(z_1/z_2)T_3(z_2)T_3(z_1). \quad (3.13)$$

Definition 3.2 *The three parameter deformed W -algebra is an associative algebra generated by $T_n^{(j)}$, ($n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq N$). Defining relations are given by (3.2). The elements $T_n^{(j)}$ are Fourier coefficients of $T_j(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n^{(j)} z^{-n}$.*

For general N , upon specialization $s = N$, the operator $T_N(z)$ degenerate to scalar 1. Let us set parameters $q = x^{2r}$ and $t = x^{2r-2}$.

Theorem 3.2 Upon specialization $s = N$, the operator $T_j(z)$ ($1 \leq j \leq N$) give a free field realization of the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$.

Example For $N = 2$ and $s = 2$, the operator $T_2(z)$ degenerates to scalar 1, and Fourier coefficients of $T_1(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n z^{-n}$ satisfy the defining relation of the deformed Virasoro algebra $Vir_{q,t} = W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ [3].

$$[T_n, T_m] = - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_l (T_{n-l} T_{m+l} - T_{m-l} T_{n+l}) + c((q/t)^n - (t/q)^n) \delta_{n+m,0}, \quad (3.14)$$

where we set the structure constant f_l by $f_{11}(z) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f_l z^l$. Let us set $q = e^h$ and $t = q^\beta$, ($\beta = \frac{r-1}{r}$), and take the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ under the following h -expansion,

$$T_n = 2\delta_{n,0} + \beta \left(L_n + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4\beta} \delta_{n,0} \right) h^2 + O(h^4), \quad (3.15)$$

we have the defining relation of the Virasoro algebra,

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n-m)L_{m+n} + \frac{C_{CFT}}{12} n(n^2-1) \delta_{n+m,0}, \quad C_{CFT} = 1 - \frac{6(1-\beta)^2}{\beta}. \quad (3.16)$$

3.2 Central Extension

In this section we study the realization of the deformed W -algebra. Let us set the element \mathcal{C}_m by

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \sum_{j=1}^N x^{(N-2j+1)m} \beta_m^j. \quad (3.17)$$

This element \mathcal{C}_m is η -invariant, $\eta(\mathcal{C}_m) = \mathcal{C}_m$. Let us divide $\Lambda_j(z)$ into $\Lambda_j^{DWA}(z)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(z)$.

$$\Lambda_j(z) = \Lambda_j^{DWA}(z) \mathcal{Z}(z), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N), \quad (3.18)$$

where we set

$$\Lambda_j^{DWA}(z) = x^{-2\sqrt{r(r-1)}P_{\epsilon_j}} : \exp \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{x^{rm} - x^{-rm}}{m} \left(\beta_m^j - \frac{[m]_x}{[Nm]_x} \mathcal{C}_m \right) z^{-m} \right) : \quad (3.19)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(z) = : \exp \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{x^{rm} - x^{-rm}}{m} \frac{[m]_x}{[Nm]_x} \mathcal{C}_m z^{-m} \right) : . \quad (3.20)$$

Let us set

$$T_j^{DWA}(z) = \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_j \leq N} : \Lambda_{s_1}^{DWA}(x^{-j+1}z) \Lambda_{s_2}^{DWA}(x^{-j+3}z) \dots \Lambda_{s_j}^{DWA}(x^{j-1}z) : . \quad (3.21)$$

Theorem 3.3 *The operators $T_j^{DWA}(z)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$) give a free field realization of the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$.*

Proposition 3.4 *The operators $T_j^{DWA}(z)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(z)$ commutes with each other.*

$$T_j^{DWA}(z_1)\mathcal{Z}(z_2) = \mathcal{Z}(z_2)T_j^{DWA}(z_1), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N-1). \quad (3.22)$$

Three parameter deformed W -algebra defined in the previous subsection (3.2), can be regarded as central extension of $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$, therefore, we sometime call three parameter deformed W -algebra, “the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ ”. In what follows, mainly, we consider three parameter deformed W -algebra. It is simpler to show the commutation relations of the Integrals of Motion $[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = [\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = [\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0$ for three parameter (x, r, s) deformed case than those for two parameter $(x, r, s = N)$ deformed case. One additional parameter s resolves singularity in the Integrals of Motion, and make problem simpler.

4 Local Integrals of Motion

In this section we give explicit formulae of the Local Integrals of Motion \mathcal{I}_n .

In what follows we use the notation of the ordered product.

$$\prod_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ l \in L}} T_j(z_l) = T_j(z_{l_1})T_j(z_{l_2}) \cdots T_j(z_{l_m}), \quad (L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m | l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_m\}). \quad (4.1)$$

For formal power series $\mathcal{A}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \cdots z_n^{k_n}$, we set the symbol $[\cdot \cdots \cdot]_{1, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n}$.

$$[\mathcal{A}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)]_{1, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n} = a_{0, 0, \dots, 0}. \quad (4.2)$$

Let us set the auxiliary function $g_{i,j}(z)$ by fusion of $g_{1,1}(z) = f_{1,1}(z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i,1}(z) &= g_{1,1}(x^{-i+1}z)g_{1,1}(x^{-i+3}z) \cdots g_{1,1}(x^{i-1}z), \\ g_{i,j}(z) &= g_{i,1}(x^{-j+1}z)g_{i,1}(x^{-j+3}z) \cdots g_{i,1}(x^{j-1}z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Definition 4.1 *We set the operator $\mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ by*

$$\mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0 \\ \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \dots + N\alpha_N = n}} \sum_{\substack{A_1^{(1)}, \dots, A_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}, \dots, A_1^{(N)}, \dots, A_{\alpha_N}^{(N)} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |A_j^{(t)}| = t, \quad \oplus_{j,t} A_j^{(t)} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}} \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{j \in \overrightarrow{A_{Min}^{(1)}}} T_1(z_j) \prod_{j \in \overrightarrow{A_{Min}^{(2)}}} T_2(x^{-1}z_j) \cdots \prod_{j \in \overrightarrow{A_{Min}^{(t)}}} T_t(x^{-1+t-2[\frac{t}{2}]}z_j) \cdots \prod_{j \in \overrightarrow{A_{Min}^{(N)}}} T_N(x^{-1+N-2[\frac{N}{2}]}z_j) \\
& \times \prod_{t=1}^N \left((-c)^{t-1} \prod_{u=1}^{t-1} \Delta(x^{2u+1})^{t-u-1} \right)^{\alpha_t} \prod_{t=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j_1=A_{j,1}^{(t)} \\ \dots \\ j_t=A_{j,t}^{(t)}}}^{\alpha_t} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_t \\ \sigma(1)=1}} \prod_{\substack{u=1 \\ u \neq [\frac{t}{2}]+1}}^t \delta \left(\frac{x^2 z_{j_{\sigma(u+1)}}}{z_{j_{\sigma(u)}}} \right) \\
& \times \prod_{t=1}^N \prod_{\substack{j < k \\ j, k \in \overrightarrow{A_{Min}^{(t)}}}} g_{t,t} \left(\frac{z_k}{z_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq t < u \leq N} \prod_{\substack{j \in \overrightarrow{A_{Min}^{(t)}} \\ k \in \overrightarrow{A_{Min}^{(u)}}}} g_{t,u} \left(x^{u-t-2[\frac{u}{2}]+2[\frac{t}{2}]} \frac{z_k}{z_j} \right). \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have set the constant c and the function $\Delta(z)$ in (3.3). When the index set $A_j^{(t)} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t | j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_t\}$, ($1 \leq t \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq \alpha_t$), we set $A_{j,k}^{(t)} = j_k$, and $A_{Min}^{(t)} = \{A_{1,1}^{(t)}, A_{2,1}^{(t)}, \dots, A_{t,1}^{(t)}\}$.

Example

$$\mathcal{O}_1(z) = T_1(z), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_2(z_1, z_2) = g_{1,1}(z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2) - c\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_1), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= g_{1,1}(z_2/z_1)g_{1,1}(z_3/z_1)g_{1,1}(z_3/z_2)T_1(z_1)T_1(z_2)T_1(z_3) \\
&- cg_{1,2}(x^{-1}z_2/z_1)T_1(z_1)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_2)T_2(x^{-1}z_2) \\
&- cg_{1,2}(x^{-1}z_1/z_2)T_1(z_2)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_1) \\
&- cg_{1,2}(x^{-1}z_1/z_3)T_1(z_3)\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)T_2(x^{-1}z_1) \\
&+ c^2\Delta(x^3)(\delta(x^2 z_2/z_1)\delta(x^2 z_1/z_3) + \delta(x^2 z_1/z_2)\delta(x^2 z_3/z_1))T_3(z_1). \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us set the auxiliary function $s(z) = s(1/z)$ by

$$s(z) = \frac{(z; x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2s-2r}z; x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}z; x^{2s})_\infty (x^{-2r+2}z; x^{2s})_\infty} \times \frac{(1/z; x^{2s})_\infty (x^{2s-2r}/z; x^{2s})_\infty}{(x^{2s-2}/z; x^{2s})_\infty (x^{-2r+2}/z; x^{2s})_\infty}. \tag{4.8}$$

Definition 4.2 For $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(r) < 0$, we define a family of the operators \mathcal{I}_n , ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \left[\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} s(z_k/z_j) \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) \right]_{1, z_1, \dots, z_n}. \tag{4.9}$$

For generic $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{C}$, the definition of \mathcal{I}_n should be understood as analytic continuation. We call the operator \mathcal{I}_n the Local Integral Motion for the deformed W -algebra.

Proposition 4.1 *The operator $\mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ is S_n -invariant in “weakly sense”.*

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} s(z_k/z_j) \mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} s(z_{\sigma(k)}/z_{\sigma(j)}) \mathcal{O}_n(z_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)}), \quad (\sigma \in S_n). \quad (4.10)$$

The following is one of **Main Results**.

Theorem 4.2 *The Local Integrals of Motion \mathcal{I}_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) commute with each other.*

$$[\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{I}_n] = 0, \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (4.11)$$

By using S_n -invariance of $\mathcal{O}_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ in “weakly sense”, the above theorem is reduced to the following theta function identity, which is shown by induction [6].

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\} \\ |J|=n}} \prod_{j \in J} \prod_{k \notin J} \frac{[u_k - u_j + 1]_s [u_k - u_j + r - 1]_s}{[u_k - u_j]_s [u_k - u_j + r]_s}, \\ &= \sum_{\substack{J^c \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\} \\ |J^c|=m}} \prod_{j \in J^c} \prod_{k \notin J^c} \frac{[u_k - u_j + 1]_s [u_k - u_j + r - 1]_s}{[u_k - u_j]_s [u_k - u_j + r]_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Conjecture 4.3 *The Local Integrals of Motion are η -invariant.*

$$\eta(\mathcal{I}_n) = \mathcal{I}_n, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (4.13)$$

We have checked for small n . We have already shown η -invariance conjecture, $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n) = \mathcal{I}_n$, for the deformed Virasoro algebra case, $Vir_{q,t} = W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ [8].

5 Nonlocal Integrals of Motion

In this section we give explicit formulae of the Nonlocal Integrals of Motion. Let us set the theta function $\vartheta(u^{(1)}|u^{(2)}|\dots|u^{(N)})$ by following conditions.

$$\vartheta(u^{(1)}|\dots|u^{(t)} + r|\dots|u^{(N)}) = \vartheta(u^{(1)}|\dots|u^{(t)}|\dots|u^{(N)}), \quad (1 \leq t \leq N) \quad (5.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \vartheta(u^{(1)}|\cdots|u^{(t)} + r\tau|\cdots|u^{(N)}) \\ = & e^{-2\pi i\tau - \frac{2\pi i}{r}(u_{t-1} - 2u_t + u_{t+1} + \sqrt{r(r-1)}P_{\alpha_t})} \vartheta(u^{(1)}|\cdots|u^{(t)}|\cdots|u^{(N)}), \quad (1 \leq t \leq N), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\vartheta(u^{(1)} + k|\cdots|u^{(N)} + k) = \vartheta(u^{(1)}|\cdots|u^{(N)}), \quad (k \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (5.3)$$

$$\eta(\vartheta(u^{(1)}|\cdots|u^{(N)})) = \vartheta(u^{(N)}|u^{(1)}|\cdots|u^{(N-1)}). \quad (5.4)$$

Example For $N = 2$ case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(u_1|u_2) &= [u_1 - u_2 - \sqrt{r(r-1)}P_{\alpha_1} + \alpha]_r [u_1 - u_2 - \alpha]_r \\ &+ [u_1 - u_2 - \sqrt{r(r-1)}P_{\alpha_1} - \alpha]_r [u_1 - u_2 + \alpha]_r, \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Definition 5.1 For $\text{Re}(r) \neq 0$ and $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 2$, we define a family of operators \mathcal{G}_m , ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_m &= \prod_{t=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^m \oint_C \frac{dz_j^{(t)}}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_j^{(t)}} F_1(z_1^{(1)}) \cdots F_1(z_m^{(1)}) F_2(z_1^{(2)}) \cdots F_2(z_m^{(2)}) \cdots F_N(z_1^{(N)}) \cdots F_N(z_m^{(N)}) \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{t=1}^N \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [u_i^{(t)} - u_j^{(t)}]_r [u_j^{(t)} - u_i^{(t)} - 1]_r}{\prod_{t=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i,j=1}^m [u_i^{(t)} - u_j^{(t+1)} + 1 - \frac{s}{N}]_r \prod_{i,j=1}^m [u_i^{(1)} - u_j^{(N)} + \frac{s}{N}]_r} \\ &\times \vartheta \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_j^{(1)} \middle| \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^{(2)} \middle| \cdots \middle| \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^{(N)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Here the integral contour C is given by

$$|x^{\frac{2s}{N}} z_j^{(t+1)}| < |z_i^{(t)}| < |x^{-2 + \frac{2s}{N}} z_j^{(t+1)}|, \quad (1 \leq t \leq N-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq m), \quad (5.7)$$

$$|x^{2 - \frac{2s}{N}} z_j^{(1)}| < |z_i^{(N)}| < |x^{-\frac{2s}{N}} z_j^{(1)}|, \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq m). \quad (5.8)$$

For generic $s \in \mathbb{C}$, the definition of \mathcal{G}_n should be understood as analytic continuation. We call the operator \mathcal{G}_n the Nonlocal Integrals of Motion for the deformed W -algebra.

Example For $N = 2$ and $m = 1$ case, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \int \int_C \frac{dz_1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_2} F_1(z_1) F_0(z_2) \frac{\vartheta(u_1|u_2)}{[u_1 - u_2 + \frac{s}{2}]_r [u_1 - u_2 - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_r}. \quad (5.9)$$

Here C is given by $|x^s z_2| < |z_1| < |x^{-2+s} z_2|$.

The following is one of **Main Results**.

Theorem 5.1 *The Nonlocal Integrals of Motion \mathcal{G}_n , ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) commute with each other.*

$$[\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0, \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (5.10)$$

By using commutation relations of the screening currents $F_j(z)$, the above theorem is reduced to the following theta function identity, which is shown by induction [6].

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{m+n}} \sum_{\sigma_2 \in S_{m+n}} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_N \in S_{m+n}} \vartheta_\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_{\sigma_1(j)}^{(1)} \middle| \sum_{j=1}^m u_{\sigma_2(j)}^{(2)} \middle| \cdots \middle| \sum_{j=1}^m u_{\sigma_N(j)}^{(N)} \right) \\
& \times \vartheta_\beta \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} u_{\sigma_1(j)}^{(1)} \middle| \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} u_{\sigma_2(j)}^{(2)} \middle| \cdots \middle| \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} u_{\sigma_N(j)}^{(N)} \right) \\
& \times \prod_{t=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \frac{[u_{\sigma_t(i)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_{t+1}(j)}^{(t+1)} - \frac{s}{N}]_r [u_{\sigma_t(j)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_{t+1}(i)}^{(t+1)} + 1 - \frac{s}{N}]_r}{[u_{\sigma_t(i)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_t(j)}^{(t)}]_r [u_{\sigma_t(j)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_t(i)}^{(t)} - 1]_r} \\
& = \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{m+n}} \sum_{\sigma_2 \in S_{m+n}} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_N \in S_{m+n}} \vartheta_\beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_{\sigma_1(j)}^{(1)} \middle| \sum_{j=1}^m u_{\sigma_2(j)}^{(2)} \middle| \cdots \middle| \sum_{j=1}^n u_{\sigma_N(j)}^{(N)} \right) \\
& \times \vartheta_\alpha \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{m+n} u_{\sigma_1(j)}^{(1)} \middle| \sum_{j=n+1}^{m+n} u_{\sigma_2(j)}^{(2)} \middle| \cdots \middle| \sum_{j=n+1}^{m+n} u_{\sigma_N(j)}^{(N)} \right) \\
& \times \prod_{t=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=n+1}^{m+n} \frac{[u_{\sigma_t(i)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_{t+1}(j)}^{(t+1)} - \frac{s}{N}]_r [u_{\sigma_t(j)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_{t+1}(i)}^{(t+1)} + 1 - \frac{s}{N}]_r}{[u_{\sigma_t(i)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_t(j)}^{(t)}]_r [u_{\sigma_t(j)}^{(t)} - u_{\sigma_t(i)}^{(t)} - 1]_r}, \quad (5.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta_\alpha(u^{(1)}|u^{(2)}|\cdots|u^{(N)})$ and $\vartheta_\beta(u^{(1)}|u^{(2)}|\cdots|u^{(N)})$ are not necessary the same theta functions.

Theorem 5.2 *The Nonlocal Integrals of Motion are η -invariant.*

$$\eta(\mathcal{G}_m) = \mathcal{G}_m, \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (5.12)$$

Conjecture 5.3 *The Local Integrals of Motion \mathcal{I}_n , ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and Nonlocal Integrals of Motion \mathcal{G}_m , ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) commute with each other.*

$$[\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0, \quad (m, n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (5.13)$$

We have already shown the commutation relations $[\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0, (m, n = 1, 2, \dots)$ for the deformed Virasoro algebra case, $Vir_{q,t} = W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ [8]. We have already shown the commutation relations $[\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0, (m = 1, 2, \dots)$ for general $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ case. If we assume η -invariance of the Local Integrals of Motion $\eta(\mathcal{I}_n) = \mathcal{I}_n$, the commutation relation $[\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{G}_m] = 0, (m, n = 1, 2, \dots)$ for general $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl}_N)$, can be shown by simple argument.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Professor M.Jimbo for his interest to this work. B.F.is partly supported by Grant RFBR (05-01-01007), SS (2044.2003.2), and RFBR-JSPS (05-01-02934). T.K. is partly supported by Grant-in Aid for Young Scientist **B** (18740092) from JSPS. J.S. is partly supported by Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research **C** (16540183) from JSPS.

References

- [1] V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, Al.Zamolodchikov : Integral Structure of Conformal Field Theory, Quantum KdV Theory and Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz *Commun.Math.Phys.***177**, no.2, 381-398, (1996).
- [2] V.Bazhanov, A.Hibberd, S.Khoroshkin : Integrable Structure of W_3 Conformal Field Theory, *Nucl.Phys.***B622** 475-547,(2002).
- [3] J.Shiraishi, H.Kubo, H.Awata, S.Odake: A Quantum Deformation of the Virasoro Algebra and the Macdonald Symmetric Functions, *Lett.Math.Phys.***38**, 647-666, (1996).
- [4] H.Awata, H.Kubo, S.Odake, J.Shiraishi : Quantum W_N Algebras and Macdonald Polynomials, *Commun.Math.Phys.***179**, 401-416, (1996).
- [5] S.Odake : Comments on the Deformed W_N Algebra, APCTP-Nankai Joint Symposium on “Lattice Statistics and Mathematical Physics 2001”, Tianjin China.

- [6] B.Feigin and A.Odesskii : A Family of Elliptic Algebras, *Internat.Math.Res.Notices* no.11, 531-539, (1997).
- [7] B.Feigin, M.Jimbo, T.Miwa, A.Odesskii, Ya.Pugai : Algebra of Screening Operators for the Deformed W_n Algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **191**, 501-541, (1998).
- [8] B.Feigin, T.Kojima, J.Shiraishi, H.Watanabe : Preprint, (2006).